

Thakur

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2355 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

-5 JUL 2016

**C.A. No. 20/2016 IN O.A. No. 249/2015 With
C.A. No. 21/2016 IN O.A. No. 250/2015.**

(Sub :- Suspension)

- 1 Mr. Pranav R. Mudgal, (C.A. No. 20/16 IN O.A. No. 249/15)
 - 2 Mr. Ravindra A. Pawar, (C.A. No. 21/16 IN O.A. No. 250/15)
- C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 Mr. Shivaji Upase, Through Secretary (Command Area Development), Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 2 Mr. Prabhakar Deshmukh, The Secretary, Water Conservation Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 3 Mr. Tukaram Mundhe, The Collector Solapur.
- 4 Mr. A.R. Khapare, The Chief Engineer, Small Scale (Water Conservation), Pune, Bunglow No.12, Yerwada, Pune.
- 5 Mr. Sunil Kushire, The Superintending Engineer Scale (Water Conservation), Pune, Yerwada, Pune.
- 6 Mr. Ingale, The Executive Engineer, Scale (Water Conservation), Solapur.
- 7 Mr. Sujit Ambadas Kore, The Sub Divisional Engineer, Scale (Water Conservation), Solapur.
- 8 Mr. Anna Kadam, The Deputy Executive Engineer, Scale (Water Conservation), Solapur.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **28th** day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **28.06.2016.**

ORDER : **Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.**

Amal
4/7/2016

**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

C.A.No.20 of 2016 in O.A. No.249 of 2015 with
C.A.No.21 of 2016 in O.A.No.250 of 2015

Shri P.R. Mudgal (C.A.No.20/2016 in O.A. No.249/2015)
Shri R.A. Pawar (C.A.No.21/2016 in O.A.No.250/2015)
...Applicants

Vs.

Mr. Shivaji Upase, Secretary & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit affirmed by Shri Prabhakar Krishnaji Deshmukh, Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division. It is taken on record.
3. In the affidavit explanation has been offered to give particulars showing the time spent in securing legal opinion and filing Writ Petition.
4. It is not explained as to why delay application for enlargement of time is not made. However considering the apology and compliance though delayed, it is considered that it would not be necessary to proceed with the contempt.
5. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that Applicant's representation should be considered by the Respondents.
6. It shall not be necessary to give any directions and it is hoped that Applicant's representation would be considered.
7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
8. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
9. Contempt Applications are disposed of accordingly.

sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

TRUE COPY

Masal
4/7/2016
Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai